

Evidence table

Table 23: Evidence table "Information with a value clarification tool versus information only"

Certainty assessment						Summary of findings					
						No. of participants per group		Effect estimates		S	
Outcomes [No. of studies]	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsis- tency	Indirect- ness	Impreci- sion	Interven- tion	Control	Effects	Quality of evidence	Importance	
		Information	on with a	value clar	ification to	ool (VCT) v	ersus infor	mation only			
Knowledge [n=1] Garvelink (6)	RCT	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	N= 202	N= 135	In one study no effect (6).	high	critical	
Comprehensibility / readability [n=2] O'Connor (1) Sheridan (5)	RCT	serious (-1)	not serious	not serious	not serious	N= 176	N= 165	In two studies no effects (1, 5).	moderate	important but not critical	
Acceptance / attractiveness [n=3] O'Connor (1)	RCT	serious (-1)	not serious	not serious	not serious	N= 236	N= 195	In one study effect for value clarification tools	moderate	limited importance	
Sheridan (5) Feldman-Stewart (7)								(7). In two studies no effects (1, 5).			
Decisional conflict (decisional conflict scale) [n=4] Sheridan (5) Garvelink (6) Achaval (8) Feldman-Stewart, 2012 (9)	RCT	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	N= 427	N= 345	In one study effect for information only (higher reduction of the decisional conflict) (8). In three studies no effects (5, 6, 9)	high	not defined	



Informed subscale [n=4] O´Connor (1) Abhyankar (3) Garvelink (6) Achaval (8)	RCT	serious (-1)	not serious	not serious	not serious	N= 393	N= 314	In four studies no effects (1, 3, 6, 8).	moderate	not defined
Values clarity subscale [n=5] O´Connor (1) Abhyankar (3) Sheridan (5) Garvelink (6) Achaval (8)	RCT	serious (-1)	not serious	not serious	not serious	N= 468	N= 379	In five studies no effects (1, 3, 5, 6, 8).	moderate	not defined
Support subscale [n=3] O´Connor (1) Garvelink (6) Achaval (8)	RCT	serious (-1)	not serious	not serious	not serious	N= 372	N= 305	In three studies no effects (1, 6, 8).	moderate	not defined
Uncertainty subscale [n=4] O'Connor (1) Abhyankar (3) Garvelink (6) Achaval (8)	RCT	serious (-1)	not serious	not serious	not serious	N= 393	N= 314	In four studies no effects (1, 3, 6, 8).	moderate	not defined
Effective decision subscale [n=4] O´Connor (1) Abhyankar (3) Garvelink (6) Achaval (8)	RCT	serious (-1)	not serious	not serious	not serious	N= 393	N= 314	In one study effect for information only (higher reduction of the decisional conflict) (8). In three studies no effects (1, 3, 6).	moderate	not defined





References

1. O'Connor AM, Wells GA, Tugwell P, Laupacis A, Elmslie T, Drake E. The effects of an 'explicit' values clarification exercise in a woman's decision aid regarding postmenopausal hormone therapy. Health expectations [Internet]. 1999; (1):[21-32 pp.]. Available from: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clcentral/articles/046/CN-00414046/frame.html.

2. Fagerlin A, Pignone M, Abhyankar P, Col N, Feldman-Stewart D, Gavaruzzi T, et al. Clarifying values: an updated review. BMC medical informatics and decision making. 2013;13 Suppl 2:S8. Epub 2013/01/01.

3. Abhyankar P, Bekker HL, Summers BA, Velikova G. Why values elicitation techniques enable people to make informed decisions about cancer trial participation. [References]. Health Expectations: An International Journal of Public Participation in Health Care & Health Policy Vol14(Suppl 1), Mar 2011, pp 20-32. 2011.

4. Pignone M, Fagerlin A, Abhyankar P, Col N, Feldman-Stewart D, Gavaruzzi T, et al. Clarifing an d expressing values. In Volk R & Llewellyn-Thomas H (editors). 2012 Update of the International Patient Decision Aids Standards (IPDAS) Collaboration's Background Document. Chapter D. 2012.

5. Sheridan SL, Griffith JM, Behrend L, Gizlice Z, Jianwen C, Pignone MP. Effect of adding a values clarification exercise to a decision aid on heart disease prevention: a randomized trial. Medical decision making : an international journal of the Society for Medical Decision Making. 2010;30(4):E28-39. Epub 2010/05/21.

6. Garvelink MM, ter Kuile MM, Stiggelbout AM, de Vries M. Values clarification in a decision aid about fertility preservation: does it add to information provision? BMC medical informatics and decision making. 2014;14:68. Epub 2014/08/12.

7. Feldman-Stewart D, Brennenstuhl S, Brundage MD, Roques T. An explicit values clarification task: Development and validation. [References]. Patient Education and Counseling Vol63(3), Nov 2006, pp 350-356. 2006.

8. Achaval S, Fraenkel L, Volk RJ, Cox V, Suarez-Lmazor ME. Impact of educational and patient decision aids on decisional conflict associated with total knee arthroplasty. Arthritis care & research [Internet]. 2012; (2):[229-37 pp.]. Available from: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clcentral/articles/011/CN-01018011/frame.html.

9. Feldman-Stewart D, Tong C, Siemens R, Alibhai S, Pickles T, Robinson J, et al. The impact of explicit values clarification exercises in a patient decision aid emerges after the



decision is actually made: evidence from a randomized controlled trial. Medical decision making : an international journal of the Society for Medical Decision Making. 2012;32(4):616-26. Epub 2012/01/31.