

## Evidence table

**Table 26:** Evidence table „Developing health information including versus not including the target group into the developing process”

Certainty assessment						Summary of findings				
						No. of participants per group		Effect estimates		
Outcomes [No. of studies]	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Intervention	Control	Effects	Quality of evidence	Importance
<b>Developing health information involving versus not involving the target group into the developing process</b>										
<b>Knowledge [1]</b> Chumbley (3)	RCT	very serious (-2)	not serious	not serious	not serious	N= 50	N= 50	In one study effect for involvement (3).	low	critical
<b>Comprehensibility / readability [2]</b> Atkinson (2) Chumbley (3)	RCT	very serious (-2)	not serious	not serious	not serious	N= 127	N= 50	In one study effect for involvement (clarity) (3). In one study no effect (clarity) (2), but an effect for involvement regarding ease of navigation (2).	low	important but not critical
<b>Acceptance / attractiveness [2]</b> Aabakken (4) Atkinson (2)	RCT	very serious (-2)	not serious	not serious	not serious	N= 120	N= 115	In two studies effects for involvement (2, 4)	low	limited importance
<b>Relevance of the information [2]</b> Atkinson (2) Chumbley (3)	RCT	very serious (-2)	not serious	not serious	not serious	N= 127	N= 50	In two studies effects for involvement (2, 3)	low	not defined

## References

1. Nilsen ES, Myrhaug HT, Johansen M, Oliver S, Oxman AD. Methods of consumer involvement in developing healthcare policy and research, clinical practice guidelines and patient information material. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2006(3):CD004563. Epub 2006/07/21.
2. Atkinson NL, Massett HA, Mylks C, McCormack LA, Kish-Doto J, Hesse BW, et al. Assessing the impact of user-centered research on a clinical trial eHealth tool via counterbalanced research design. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association : JAMIA*. 2011;18(1):24-31. Epub 2010/12/21.
3. Chumbley GM, Hall GM, Salmon P. Patient-controlled analgesia: what information does the patient want? *Journal of advanced nursing* [Internet]. 2002; (5):[459-71 pp.]. Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clcentral/articles/833/CN-00444833/frame.html>.
4. Aabakken L, Baasland I, Lygren I, Osnes M. Development and evaluation of written patient information for endoscopic procedures. *Endoscopy*. 1997;29(1):23-6. Epub 1997/01/01.
5. Deutsches Netzwerk Evidenzbasierte Medizin. *Gute Praxis Gesundheitsinformation*. Version 2.0. Berlin: 2015. <http://www.ebm-netzwerk.de/gpgi> (Zugriff 05.01.2016).